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Abstract— Handover (HO) technique in LTE networks suffers from Ping-pong movement. Ping-pong HO can reduce the quality of the mobile user’s connection and increases the number of handovers which in turn raises the network load and generally degrades the network performance. The work aims to present a novel approach to reduce the undesirable effects of ping-pong HO in LTE Mobile Networks using timer. The study focused on the ping-pong phenomenon taking into account maintained the dropped calls rates at lowest levels. The optimal timer values are determined based on the width of overlapping area, user velocity and type of eNodeB. Analyzed results showed that the changes of overlapping area directly affect the timer values of the proposed algorithm. Optimal timer value should be selected precisely according to the width of the overlapping area, user velocity and timer value in order to reduce the ping-pong HO.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Handover is a crucial procedure for maintaining the connection between the mobile users. There are two types of HOs to communicate users between different cells. The first type is called hard HO which is employed in the GSM and LTE mobile networks. The second type is called soft HO which is implemented in IS-95 and 3G mobile networks. LTE is based on OFDMA technology, which is primarily a frequency division process. This means that the user has to actually change into a different set of frequency subcarriers when it hands over between two eNBs, which eliminates the possibility for soft handover. Soft HO has better performance on both link and system level and it has the advantages of smoother transmission and less ping-pong effects. However, it wastes the radio resources and has the disadvantages of complexity, and suffers from ping-pong [1-4].

The ping-pong HO is a very common phenomenon in the LTE mobile networks, which may cause call dropping and degrading of the HO performance. Moreover, it wastes the limited radio resources because it reserves two connections for a short time. It occurs due to the repeated movement of UE between the source and the target eNB, or high signal fluctuation at the common boundary of the eNBs. Coverage parameters, antenna configuration, users’ location area and their movement are the main considerations that can cause the ping pong [5].

Previous works on hard handover led to several algorithms to improve the HO technique in LTE networks. Many studies have been conducted in the area of ping-pong HO in LTE Networks [6-8]. Two main general methods, hysteresis [6] and TTT (Time To Trigger) with threshold [9-10], have been widely used to solve the Ping-pong HO problem. Previous studies vary from statistical analysis in the literature [11-14] to follow algorithms rely on probability prediction using neural networks in [15] and [16]. In [5], a novel handover algorithm to reduce the ping-pong HO is presented. However, the effects of overlapping area on the ping-pong phenomenon have not been addressed in[5], and Little is known about the effects of the width of overlapping area on ping-pong HO in EUTRA Networks.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section II we present the intra-EUTRA HO procedure. The proposed technique which reduces ping-pong HO - based on timer value- is described in section III. The mathematical calculation of the timer value based on the overlapping area is illustrated in section IV. Section V shows the simulation results, also conclusions and future outlook are given in section VI.

II. LTE INTRA-EUTRA HANDOVER PROCEDURE

In LTE, the HO decision is made in the eNB without connecting to the MME. The required HO information is exchanged between the eNBs via the X2 interface. According to the 3GPP, the HO procedure is divided into two parts mainly: the HO preparation and execution parts and HO completion part. Fig. [1] shows the intra-EUTRA HO steps and a summary of the HO procedure is summarised in [5].
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III. PING-PONG DETECTION ALGORITHM FOR INTRA LTE HANOVER

In the proposed algorithm explained in Fig. 2 a timer is used to delay the HO completion part from the HO process.

If the difference between the Signal strength of the target (SS-target) and SS-source always shows that the SS-target is sufficiently stronger than the SS-source after expiring the timer then there is no ping-pong HO. However, if the difference between the SS-target and SS-source does not show that, then the movement is ping-pong. In this case, the HO should be delayed and the old path (MME/SGW-source eNB) should be kept during the ping-pong interval. In another word only the completion part of the HO procedure can be delayed to avoid the swinging between of the (old and new) paths as it can be seen in (Fig. 2).

The proposed algorithm has 3 phases as explained below. As it appears in fig. 3, the preparation and execution HO phase means that the new connection between the UE and the target eNB is made but the old S1 interface is still in use (Blue line in fig. 3). For the HO completion part there is completely new connection path via new S1 interface. It is good to mention that in the completion phase the old S1 path is released and a new S1 interface is initiated to be used.

Figure 1: Summary of the different steps of preparation, execution and completion HO process which performs by eNBs. 1) Downlink HO measurements, 2) processing of downlink measurements, 3) uplink reporting, 4) HO preparation and execution via x2 interface, 5) path switch request, 6) release the old path, 7) Path switch acknowledgement, 8) Release resources [4, 5].
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Figure 3: The phases of the proposed algorithm
IV. ANALYSIS OF THE PROPOSED ALGORITHM

A. How to define the difference $SS$ (target-source) mathematically

For each mobile phone in the overlapping area, the average of the standard deviation can give the best $SS$ (target-source) requires to perform a handover.

$$SS_{(source1)} + SS_{(source2)} + \ldots + SS_{(source\ i=N)}$$

$$Average_{source} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{i=N} SS_{(source_i)}}{N}$$

$$SS(target1) + SS(target2) + \ldots + SS(target\ i=N)$$

$$Average_{target} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{i=N} SS_{(target_i)}}{N}$$

$$\text{Total \_ Average} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{i=N} SS_{(source_i)} + \sum_{i=1}^{i=N} SS_{(target_i)}}{2N}$$

(1)

Total average is used to trigger the parameters and perform the HO completion part. The difference between the received signal strength from the target and the source -(SS(target-source)) of the proposed algorithm should be chosen to be less than Total_Average.

$$SS_{(target-source)} < \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{i=N} SS_{(source_i)} + \sum_{i=1}^{i=N} SS_{(target_i)}}{2N}$$

(2)

B. Mathematical model for maximum timer value

Let us suppose that the width of the overlapping area equal to $d$, the distance between the User Equipment (UE) and the source is $r$, whereas the distance between the target and the UE is $r'$, and $D$ is the distance between two eNBs. UE velocity is chosen to be $v$ and it refers to the speed of the mobile user in m/sec, $d'$ the active HO area (red colored area in fig. (4)). The red area points to the area that the mobile user should perform the HO completion before passing it. So due to the velocity of the UE the overall HO time should be completed before the $d'/v$, where $d'$ is the distance that the SS(target-source) can match. Moreover, The red area is the area of ping-pong HO and also it is the area which may have high probability of dropped calls.

$$D=r+r', \ \ r-r'=d'$$

$$d'=r-D+r=2r-D$$

(2)

The maximum value for delaying the HO should not exceed $d'/v$, and the Timer value should be less than $d'/v$ ($<d'/v$).

Let us assume that the required time to perform the Ho preparation and execution is $t_1$, and the required time to perform the handover completion is $t_2$. The Timer value is set to be $t_3$, and the interruption time which is the UE radio connection is dead $t_4$. The total time to finish the complete HO procedure is assumed to be $T'$. 

$$T'=d'/v=t_1+t_2+t_3+t_4$$

(3)

The interruption time, i.e., the time during which the UE radio connection is dead $t_1$, T2 HO Execution time, T3 HO trigger until completion.

Let us suppose that $t_1+t_2+t_4= \text{HO overall execution time equal to 100 ms (It varies from 60 to 100 ms):}$

$$T'=100+t_3, \ \ T3=t'-0.1 \text{Sec (Timer value in sec)}$$

(4)

It is good to mention that $d'$ differs upon the cell size and the cell type. LTE cell sizes may range from femto-cell for indoor/home coverage, to over 30 km (18.64 miles). However, a typical LTE cell size will be 1 to 5km (0.6 - 3 miles), and generally congruent with 2G/3G cell deployments.

If we suppose that the overlapping area is equal 10 % of the cell size, and the cell diameter in the urban areas equal to 1000 meter than the width of the overlapping area $d=100$ meters. Let us suppose that the active HO area equals to 50% of the overlapping area $d'=d/2=50$ meters) then the maximum time for the timer should be $T'=d'/v$ whereas, $v$ assigns to the velocity of the UE. If we suppose that the velocity is 25 meter per second then the maximum value is $50/25=2$ Sec. For cell diameter equals to 2400 meters, $d=240$ meters. Then $d'=d/2=120$ m, as a result of that the maximum timer value is $120/40=3$ Sec (for velocity equals to 40 meters per second).
Figure (5) the effects of velocity, cell diameter and overlapping area on the timer values.

Increasing the width of overlapping area allows having higher timer value. For different cell diameters -in a real environment-, there will be a different overlapping area as it can be seen in fig. (5). As a result, different Timer values (based on velocity changes) should be applied to complete the HO decision. Rapid changes in the overlapping areas caused by network topology, cell size and the antennas type play significant role in selecting the accurate timer value to reduce the ping-pong HO effects.

V. PING-PONG AVOIDANCE ALGORITHM BASED ON FUZZY LOGIC

Fuzzy logic technique was previously used to study HO in different mobile networks [17-20] but not to study ping-pong HO. Fuzzy set theory allows a linguistic representation of the control and operational laws of a system in words. The main strength of fuzzy set theory is that it excels in dealing with imprecision. The fuzzy set theory allows the gradual transition from full membership to full non-membership of the set [21-24]. Thus fuzzy set theory is a generalization of classical set theory. In fuzzy set an element is related to a set by a membership function \( \mu \). The membership function usually take on a value between 0 and 1, this means \( \mu \in [0,1] \) where 1 is for full membership, 0 for the null-membership and values in between give the degree of membership.

There are several reasons for using fuzzy control for analyzing the ping-pong HO in E-UTRA networks in this study. The rapid changes in the radio environment require a fast response and better algorithm to follow up these changes. The ping-pong phenomenon is fuzzy since it differs from cell to cell and varies upon radio measurements and dynamic changes in the mobile environment properties. Moreover, the mobile operators are not able to completely control the ping-pong HO and they use their own experience in reducing it. The ping-pong HO could benefit from the fuzzyfication treatment of the HO input metrics and fuzzy reasoning thereon as it is explained later on this article.

A. Memberships of Input Parameters

The input variables for the proposed algorithm are Timer value, velocity of UE and width of overlapping area (Fig. 6). Timer value is assigned the linguistic values Small, Medium, and Big over the range \([0,5]\) Sec. The second input of the proposed algorithm is the velocity of the user, the linguistic values of user velocity is assigned as High, Medium, and Low over the interval \([0-100]\) km/hour. The third input is the width of overlapping area, the linguistic values of it is assigned as High, Medium, and Low over the range of \([0-400]\) meters. An output parameter refers to the probability of ping-pong HO which is defined as High, Medium and Low and the corresponding weights are taken to be 1, 0.5 and 0 respectively.

B. Fuzzy inference

Some fuzzy rules used are presented in table (2):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If</th>
<th>Probability of dropped calls</th>
<th>Probability of Ping-pong HO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Small Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Low Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Low High</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Medium Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Medium Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Medium High</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small High Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small High Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small High High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium Low Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium Low Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium Low High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium Medium Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium Medium Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium Medium High</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium High Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium High Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium High High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big Low Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big Low Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big Low High</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big Medium Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big Medium Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big Medium High</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big High Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big High Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big High High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 6: Fuzzy logic system for Ping-pong Avoidance Algorithm (Matlab)

C. Results and Discussion

After the membership functions are determined and entered in Matlab Fuzzy Toolbox Membership Function Editor, the rules are selected and written using Matlab rules editor for simulation and evaluation. In the simulation different parameters are chosen according to the 3GPP specifications and recommendations. Moreover, different velocities are selected to study the
effect of overlapping area on the timer value for different user speeds.

The effects of the inputs functions on the output (probability of ping-pong HO) were analyzed individually and results are shown in figures 7 and 8, respectively (using Matlab Fuzzy Toolbox). Fig. 7 shows that the probability of ping-pong HO was efficiently reduced at high timer values i.e. more than 1 sec. Similarly, Fig. 8 illustrates that a timer value higher than 1.5 seconds decreases the probability of dropped calls rate to the lowest levels.

D. The effects of overlapping area on the probability of dropped calls and ping-pong HO

Figures (9-10) show the effects of overlapping area on the probability of dropped calls and ping-pong HO. Results illustrate that the Timer values should not exceed 1.5 sec to keep the probability of dropped calls at lowest rates and reduce the ping-pong HO at the same time. For high speed user velocities the timer value should be kept less than 1 sec to maintain the dropped calls rates very low (Fig. 11).

A precise tradeoff between the timer value and the width of the overlapping area should be made to keep the probability of dropped calls at lowest levels.

Moreover, results can be suitable for slow and medium mobility users up to 50 kmph. However, in fast mobility user, the situation can be more complicated and timer value require to be adaptive upon user speed and the width of overlapping area to avoid call dropping rates and reduce the ping-pong HO rates. The avoidance of ping-pong HO in real environment requires an accurate trade-off between timer value, width of overlapping area and the velocity of the mobile user.
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In high speed scenario explained in fig. 11, it is crucial to take to our consideration that the timer value should be selected to be less than 1.5 second for high speed users with respect to the width of the overlapping area. Timer values (higher than 1.5 sec) will increase the dropped calls rate. This can reduce the user experience and directly affect the connection. Narrow overlapping area in high speed movements can increase the probability of the dropped calls as it can be seen from figure 11.

Another mean to keep the probability of dropped call rate and Ping-Pong HO rate at lowest level in high speed scenarios is to consider the width of overlapping area between neighboring cells. This can be achieved by adjusting the overlapping area according to the user velocity. It can be shown from figure 13 that the optimal overlapping area can be adjustable according to the user velocity (in the range of 8%-19%). Overlapping area in figure 13 is taken as a percentage of the neighboring cells size. Selecting a proper overlapping area based on the user speed can increase the user experience and the quality of the handover.

CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, the effects of overlapping area on the ping-pong HO in intra E-UTRA were studied. A novel ping-pong avoidance approach – based on adaptive
timer value - to reduce the ping-pong HO in E-UTRA was presented. The presented scheme uses only timer value to delay the completion part only of the HO procedure as a trial to reduce the ping-pong HO rates and at the same time keep the probability of dropped calls at a low rate. The performance evaluation of the suggested algorithm was obtained using fuzzy logic technique. Results showed that the width of overlapping area play significant role in selecting the optimal timer value to reduce the ping-pong HO rates. For high speed velocity the timer value should be kept less than 1 sec to prevent the probability of dropped calls and avoid the undesirable effects of ping-pong HO. Further work will consider the effects of overlapping area in heterogeneous networks.
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